

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/967,080	LINEBACK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen F. Pratt	1761	

All Participants:

(1) Helen F. Pratt.

(2) Eric Weimers.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
--

Claims discussed:
33 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:
--

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 33 and 34 on lines 18 or 17, respectively, refer to "a portion of the initial single strength juice flow", when there has been no previous mention of portioning or diverting the initial single strength flow, as in the other claims. Applicant's representative said that "and further" could be added to further clarify adding a portion of the first juice. See Examiner's amendment.